Officials retrenched due to financial stringency.

Q.—552. Sri B. CHIKKANNA (Javagal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the several cadres of officials retrenched in each department due to financial stringency;

(*b*) the amount saved by Government by so doing?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Reference is invited to the Chief Minister's Speech delivered at the last Budget Session of the Legislative Assembly.

Re-valuation of Answer papers of students in the Engineering College.

Q.—33. Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether there is provision for re-valuation of answer books of students in the Rules of the University of Mysore;

(*b*) whether any answer papers of the Engineering College were re-valued this year; if so, how many papers were re-valued; of what class; subject and names of students;

(*c*) in how many such cases the re-valuation effected a change in the result;

(*d*) who re-valued these papers; his name; whether he was competent to value that paper;

(*e*) who ordered re-valuation;

(*f*) how did the question of re-valuation arise; whether the students had applied for re-valuation;

(*g*) if some answers were thought to be not valued at all by the original examiner, why were not these papers again entrusted to himself;

(*h*) whether his explanation was taken before entrusting to another person;

(*i*) whether they, or any of the answer papers were referred to for re-valuation, to subordinates of the original examiners?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) No provision for re-valuation if asked for by a candidate.

(*b*) Yes; 167; Third Examination in Engineering;

Applied Mechanics; names of students indicated in the list below.

(*c*) Eleven cases.

(*d*) Sri D. B. Narasimhiah.
Sri S. V. Puttanna Raju.
Sri S. V. Puttanna Raju, Assistant Examiner—83 papers.
Sri K. B. Murarappa, Assistant Examiner—82 papers.

(*e*) and (*f*) While re-totalling the marks of Register No. 1534 in Applied Mechanics it was noticed by the Registrar that the Examiner had neither awarded marks to, nor scored certain answers. This was brought to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor on 26th June 1952, who ordered re-valuation of the answer book. Similarly while subsequently re-totalling the marks in Applied Mechanics of Register No. 1753, it was noticed by the Registrar that the same Examiner had neither awarded marks to, nor scored out certain answers. This was brought to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor on 3rd July 1952, who again ordered re-valuation. As these two re-valuations revealed a serious omission on the part of the Examiner, all the answer books in Applied Mechanics (total 165) of candidates who had obtained less than 40 per cent (passing minimum) were sent on 14th July 1952 for re-valuation to Messrs. S. V. Puttanna Raju and K. B. Murarappa, Lecturers in the College of Engineering (who were the other two Examiners in Applied Mechanics).

This was reported to the University Council on 19th July 1952, when it was resolved that the Vice-Chancellor be empowered to notify corrections to the results on the basis of marks awarded in the second valuation. Accordingly the results of eleven candidates who were benefited by the re-valuation were notified.

(*g*) It was considered desirable to get them re-valued independently.

(*h*) No.